



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/144,782	09/01/1998	GHANI ABDUL MUTTALIB ABBAS	P/61149.USP/	5198

7590 03/13/2002

KIRSCHSTEIN OTTINGER
ISRAEL & SCHIFFMILLER
489 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER

TON, DANG T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2661

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/144,782

Applicant(s)

A6620

Examiner

DANIG DIN

Group Art Unit

2661

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/31/01
- ☒ This action is FINAL.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 23-79 is/are pending in the application.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 23-79 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
 - ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
 - ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____
- ☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 2732

1. Claims 23-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 23,41,45,62,66,70,77,78, and 79 are not clear because it is not known the meets and bounds of the claimed invention.

In claim 23 line 5, the term "whereby" makes the claim vague and indefinite because the applicant never mentioned the integrity before. Similar problem exists in claims 24,45-46, and 62. Claims 24-40,42-44, 47-61,63-65,67-69, and 71-76 are rejected since they depend from the rejected claims.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 23-79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by

Toyoyama et al.(6,157,658)

Toyayama et al. disclose a method comprising the steps of transmitting to a node a form of data signal from outside the network, converting the signal into a virtually concatenated information structure, the converting step including the step of processing a path overhead of the signal; the

Art Unit: 2732

data signal comprising VC-4, or VC-3, or AU3; and controlling the aligning step according to contents of bytes J1 and H4. (See details of figure 5 and abstract).

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. In the remarks of Dec 31, 2001, the applicant traverses the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C 102. The traversal is based on the ground that reference does not teach concatenated information structure. This argument is not found to be persuasive. Applicant's attention is directed at column 2 line 1 to column 6 line 50, wherein it teaches the concatenated information structure.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2732

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Dang Ton at telephone number (703) 305-4739.



D. Ton

**DANG TON
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

3/3/2002